

Christopher King\* and Michael Nathanson

Department of Mathematics, Northeastern University, Boston, MA 02115

Mary Beth Ruskai†

Department of Mathematics, University of Massachusetts Lowell, Lowell, MA 01854 USA

(September 18, 2001)

We give examples of qubit channels that require three input states in order to achieve the Holevo capacity.

The Holevo capacity  $C(\Phi)$  of a channel  $\Phi$  is defined as the supremum over all possible ensembles  $\mathcal{E} = \{\pi_j, \rho_j\}$  (consisting of a probability distribution  $\pi_j$  and set of density matrices  $\rho_j$ ), of the quantity

$$\chi(\mathcal{E}) = \chi(\{\pi_j, \rho_j\}) = S[\Phi(\rho)] - \sum_j \pi_j S[\Phi(\rho_j)] \quad (1)$$

where  $\rho = \sum_j \pi_j \rho_j$  is the average input, and  $S(\gamma) = -\text{Tr} \gamma \log \gamma$  denotes the von Neumann entropy. Thus,  $C(\Phi) = \sup_{\mathcal{E}} \chi(\mathcal{E})$ . It has been shown [4,10] that  $C(\Phi)$  is the maximum information carrying capacity of a channel restricted to product inputs, but permitting entangled measurements.  $C(\Phi) \geq C_{\text{Shan}}(\Phi)$ , where the classical Shannon capacity  $C_{\text{Shan}}(\Phi)$  describes the information carrying capacity of a channel when output measurements (as well as input ensembles) are restricted to products. (See, e.g., [2,5,7] for precise definitions.) We will sometimes use subscripts to denote the supremum of (1) restricted to a particular class of ensembles; in particular, we write  $C_n(\Phi)$  to denote the restriction to ensembles of  $n$  states. It is well-known [1] that for qubit channels the maximum can be achieved with an ensemble containing at most four states.

In general, a qubit channel maps the Bloch sphere to an ellipsoid [6,9]. If the channel is unital, i.e., if  $\Phi(I) = I$ , then the ellipsoid is centered at the origin, and the capacity is achieved with a pair of orthogonal inputs whose images, which are also states of minimal entropy [6], are the endpoints of the major axis of the ellipsoid. For non-unital channels the ellipsoid is displaced from the origin, and examples are known [2,11] for which the capacity is achieved with two non-orthogonal inputs which are not mapped onto states of minimal entropy. Here we present

examples of non-unital channels which require *three* input states to achieve capacity. Furthermore these channels are *non-extreme* points in the set of all qubit channels, and this property is essential to our construction.

To motivate our strategy, we consider the capacity of two well-known channels which have rotational symmetry about an axis of the Bloch sphere, and we maximize (1) over ensembles consisting of a pair of states on a line either parallel or orthogonal to this axis.

First, let  $\Phi_D$  denote the shifted depolarizing channel which contracts the Bloch sphere to a sphere of radius  $\mu$  and shifts it up until it touches the unit sphere, i.e., if  $\rho$  is written in the form  $\rho = \frac{1}{2}[I + \mathbf{w} \cdot \sigma]$ , then

$$\Phi_D(\rho) = \frac{1}{2}[I + (\mu w_1, \mu w_2, (1 - \mu) + \mu w_3) \cdot \sigma]. \quad (2)$$

When  $\mu = 0.5$ , the image of the Bloch sphere satisfies

$$x^2 + y^2 + (z - \frac{1}{2})^2 = \frac{1}{4} \quad (3)$$

as shown in Fig. 1, and the poles  $\rho = \frac{1}{2}[I \pm \sigma_3]$  are mapped to the states  $\frac{1}{2}[I + \sigma_3]$  and  $\frac{1}{2}I$  which have entropy 0 and 1 respectively (using base 2 for logarithms). If we restrict the input ensemble to a vertical line, the  $\chi$ -quantity (1) is maximized by a convex combination of the poles, so that the average output state  $\Phi_D(\rho) = \frac{1}{2}[I + z\sigma_3]$  lies on the  $z$ -axis. One finds that this vertical capacity, which we denote  $C_V$ , is achieved when the output average is at  $z = 0.6$  and its value is

$$\begin{aligned} C_V &= \sup_z \left\{ S\left(\frac{1}{2}[I + z\sigma_3]\right) - (1 - z) \right\} \\ &= S\left(\frac{1}{2}[I + 0.6\sigma_3]\right) - 0.4 \approx 0.32193. \end{aligned}$$

(This is also the capacity of a quantum-classical channel  $\Phi_{QC}$ , discussed below, which maps  $\rho \mapsto \frac{1}{2}[I + (\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}w_3)\sigma_3]$ .) If, instead, we restrict to convex combinations of states on a horizontal line, the restricted horizontal capacity  $C_H = 0.2144$  is achieved when the output average is at the midpoint of the line with  $z = 0.474$ . Numerical studies confirm that  $C_V$  is the unrestricted maximum of (1).

Next, let  $\Phi_{\text{Amp}}$  denote the amplitude damping channel

$$\Phi_{\text{Amp}}(\rho) = \frac{1}{2}[I + (\sqrt{\mu} w_1, \sqrt{\mu} w_2, (1 - \mu) + \mu w_3) \cdot \sigma]. \quad (4)$$

When  $\mu = 0.5$ , the poles are again mapped into the states  $\frac{1}{2}[I + \sigma_3]$  and  $\frac{1}{2}I$  respectively. However, the image of the Bloch sphere is now the ellipsoid

---

\*king@neu.edu

†ruskai@mediaone.net

$$\frac{1}{2}x^2 + \frac{1}{2}y^2 + (z - \frac{1}{2})^2 = \frac{1}{4} \quad (5)$$

shown in Fig. 2. Schumacher and Westmoreland [11] studied this channel and observed that its maximum capacity of 0.4717 is attained along a horizontal line whose image is at height  $z = 0.596$ .

These results suggest that we begin with a shifted depolarizing channel (2) and continuously deform it into an amplitude damping channel (4) by stretching the sides to obtain a channel of the form

$$\Phi_{\text{Str}}(\rho) = \frac{1}{2}[I + (sw_1, sw_2, (1 - \mu) + \mu w_3) \cdot \sigma] \quad (6)$$

with  $\mu \leq s \leq \sqrt{\mu}$ . Such a channel is shown in Fig. 3. As  $s$  increases from  $\mu$  to  $\sqrt{\mu}$  there should be a point at which the capacities restricted to vertical and horizontal lines become equal. Each of these two-state ensembles, which we denote  $\mathcal{E}_V$  and  $\mathcal{E}_H$  respectively, defines a unique point on the  $z$ -axis, namely the height of the average output state. If we now take the average of these two ensembles, the resulting  $\chi$ -quantity is

$$\chi\left[\frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{E}_V + \mathcal{E}_H)\right] = \frac{1}{2}(C_V + C_H) + S\left[\frac{1}{2}\Phi(\rho_V + \rho_H)\right] - \frac{1}{2}S[\Phi(\rho_V)] - \frac{1}{2}S[\Phi(\rho_H)]. \quad (7)$$

Since the entropy is strictly concave,  $\chi\left[\frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{E}_V + \mathcal{E}_H)\right]$  will be strictly greater than  $\frac{1}{2}(C_V + C_H) = C_V = C_H$  unless  $\Phi(\rho_V) = \Phi(\rho_H)$ . We have verified that  $\Phi_{\text{Str}}(\rho_V) \neq \Phi_{\text{Str}}(\rho_H)$  for the crossings associated with a range of values of  $\mu$ , including  $\mu = 0.5$ . Since the average ensemble  $\frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{E}_V + \mathcal{E}_H)$  contains four states, this implies that the 4-state capacity  $C_4$  is strictly greater than the restricted two-state capacity  $C_V = C_H$ . However, the four input states used here all lie in the same plane, in fact on a circle of the Bloch sphere. The corresponding circle of density matrices lies within a 3-dimensional subspace. By a straightforward adaptation of the general arguments in [1], the maximum capacity on a circle is achieved with at most three states. Numerical studies of examples of this type have confirmed that the 3-state capacity is strictly greater than the (unrestricted) 2-state capacity, and that  $C_2 < C_4 = C_3$ . Note that even when the 4-state expression for  $\chi$  is always strictly less than  $C_3$ , the restricted 3-state and 4-state capacities,  $C_3$  and  $C_4$  respectively, are equal since one can always add a fourth state with arbitrarily small probability to the optimal 3-state ensemble.

To complete the argument above, we need to show that the 2-state capacity  $C_2$  actually equals the restricted capacity  $C_V = C_H$ . Suppose instead that there is an ensemble on a skew line for which the  $\chi$ -quantity (1) exceeds  $C_V = C_H$ . Then by symmetry, there will be another ensemble (obtained by rotating  $180^\circ$  around the  $z$ -axis) with the same  $\chi$ . Unless their lines cross along the  $z$ -axis, and unless the average ensemble occurs at exactly this crossing, one will again be able to average the two ensembles (as shown in Fig. 4) to obtain a 4-state  $\chi$  value

which is strictly larger. This argument shows that, unless there is a special degeneracy, the 4-state capacity always exceeds the 2-state capacity for channels of this type, i.e., those with equal vertical and horizontal capacity.

The stretched channel (6) was studied numerically for  $\mu = 0.5$ . When  $s = 0.6015$ ,  $C_V(\Phi_{\text{Str}}) = C_H(\Phi_{\text{Str}}) = 0.32193$  with average output states at  $z = 0.6$  and  $z = 0.58$  respectively. Thus,  $\Phi_{\text{Str}}(\rho_V) \neq \Phi_{\text{Str}}(\rho_H)$ , implying that  $C_4 > C_2$  by the argument above.

Detailed numerical studies were then carried out for  $s = 0.6$ , yielding  $C_2(\Phi_{\text{Str}}) = C_V(\Phi_{\text{Str}}) = 0.32193$ . The maximum capacity  $C(\Phi_{\text{Str}}) = 0.32499$  is achieved with a three state ensemble consisting of the input state  $(0, 0, 1)$  with probability  $p = 0.4023$ , and two symmetric states  $(\pm.93681, 0, -.34984)$  each with  $p = .29885$  (where we denote states by vectors in  $\mathbf{R}^3$  via the correspondence  $\rho = \frac{1}{2}[I + \mathbf{w} \cdot \sigma]$ ). The optimal inputs and their images are shown in Fig. 3.) Although the difference  $C_3(\Phi_{\text{Str}}) - C_2(\Phi_{\text{Str}}) = 0.003$  is small, a three state ensemble is definitely needed to achieve the maximum. The Shannon capacity was also computed and shown to be achieved with the same two-state ensemble that gives  $C_V$ .

Some insight, and a mechanism for constructing additional examples, can be obtained by considering the so-called quantum-classical (QC) and classical-quantum (CQ) channels introduced by Holevo [5]. For qubits, both QC and CQ channels contract the Bloch sphere to a line. Up to a rotation, a QC channel has the form

$$\Phi_{\text{QC}}(\rho) = \frac{1}{2}[I + (t_3 + \mu w_3) \cdot \sigma]$$

with  $|t_3| + |\mu| \leq 1$ , and its image states are classical in the sense that they are diagonal. When  $|t_3| = 1 - |\mu|$ , the line is translated parallel to itself until it touches the Bloch sphere. In the examples considered above, the vertical capacity is equivalent to that of a QC channel that is extreme in the sense of reaching the Bloch sphere.

Similarly, up to a rotation, a CQ channel has the form

$$\Phi_{\text{CQ}}(\rho) = \frac{1}{2}[I + (t_1, t_2, t_3 + \mu w_3) \cdot \sigma]$$

with  $|t_1|^2 + |t_2|^2 + (|t_3| + |\mu|)^2 \leq 1$ . When  $t_1$  or  $t_2 \neq 0$ , states on the image line do not commute and retain quantum features. Indeed, channels of the form  $\frac{1}{2}[I + \sqrt{1 - \mu^2}\sigma_1 + \mu w_3\sigma_3]$  were the first [3,5] for which the Holevo capacity was shown to strictly exceed the Shannon capacity. The horizontal capacity we have considered is essentially the capacity of a non-extreme CQ channel whose image lies on a line of the form  $\frac{1}{2}[I + \nu w_1\sigma_1 + t_3\sigma_3]$  with  $|\nu| = 2s\sqrt{t_3(1 - t_3)}$  and  $s$  is as in (6).

Additional examples of channels which require three inputs to maximize capacity can be constructed by deforming shifted depolarizing channels (2) in various ways. One can effectively stretch  $\Phi_D$  by taking a convex combination with the amplitude damping channel  $\Phi_{\text{Amp}}$  to obtain a channel of the form (6). This channel can also

be written as the convex combination of an amplitude damping channel with a suitable QC channel. Furthermore, the channel (2) can be effectively squeezed down by taking a convex combination of  $\Phi_D$  with a suitable CQ channel to obtain a channel of the form

$$\Phi_{\text{Sqz}}(\rho) = \frac{1}{2}[I + (\mu w_1, q w_2, (1 - \mu) + q w_3) \cdot \sigma]. \quad (8)$$

This channel is shown in Fig. 4 for  $\mu = 0.5, q = 0.435$ .

The behavior observed above for the stretched channel (6) with  $\mu = 0.5$  is generic over a range of values of  $\mu$ , i.e., there is a value of  $s$  for which  $C_V = C_H$ , and a small interval for which  $C_3 > C_2$ . This was confirmed by additional numerical studies for  $\mu = 0.8$ . When  $s = 0.84$ , the capacity of  $C = 0.62088$  is achieved with the three state ensemble of  $(0, 0, 1)$  with  $p = 0.34415$ , and the two equiprobable states  $(\pm 0.942895, 0, -0.333091)$ . The two state capacity  $C_2 = 0.61823$  is achieved with the orthogonal inputs  $(0, 0, \pm 1)$ .

Similarly, for a squeezed channel of the form (8), there should be a value of  $q$  for which  $C_V = C_H$  and a small interval for which  $C_3 > C_2$ . Numerical studies were again conducted with  $\mu = 0.5$ . When  $q = 0.43535$ ,  $C_V(\Phi_{\text{Sqz}}) = C_H(\Phi_{\text{Sqz}}) = 0.21325$ , suggesting that  $C_4 > C_2$ . This channel was studied in detail for  $q = 0.435$  with results similar to those for the stretched channel, but smaller effect. The capacity of  $C = 0.2140$  is achieved with the three state ensemble  $(0, 0, 1)$  with  $p = 0.3310$  and two symmetric states  $(\pm 0.9534, 0, -0.3017)$  each with  $p = 0.3345$ . The two state capacity of  $C_2 = 0.2132$  is achieved with a non-orthogonal pair of horizontal inputs and is therefore greater than the Shannon capacity of  $C_{\text{Shan}} = 0.2128$  which is again achieved with a pair of orthogonal inputs. The difference  $C_3(\Phi_{\text{Sqz}}) - C_2(\Phi_{\text{Sqz}}) = 0.0008$ , although small, is greater than the difference  $C_2(\Phi_{\text{Sqz}}) - C_{\text{Shan}}(\Phi_{\text{Sqz}}) = 0.0004$  by a factor of two.

In general, to optimize (1) one seeks ensembles whose outputs are near the Bloch sphere, where the second term is small, but whose average is near the origin where the first term achieves its maximum of 1. For unital maps, both requirements are compatible and achieved with a two-state ensemble whose image lies along a major axis of the ellipsoid. Symmetry may permit, but can never require, ensembles with more than two inputs. Moreover, any deformation of a sphere which yields a unique major axis, also gives a unique optimal ensemble. For non-unital maps, the generic situation is still a two-state ensemble, whose image is on a line segment determined by the competing terms (1). More inputs are required when, as in the examples above, two quite differently oriented line segments corresponding to QC and/or CQ channels emerge with nearly equal two-state capacities.

We expect to also find qubit channels which require four states to maximize the Holevo capacity. However, constructing an example by further deformations of the

channels above will require a carefully balanced asymmetric shifting and squeezing. Either stretching or shifting alone appears to break the balance and return to a two-state channel. Moreover, care must be taken to ensure that the deformed ellipsoid is one whose parameters satisfy the complete positivity conditions, as discussed in [9]. Work in this direction is underway.

There is another expression for the Holevo capacity, discovered independently by Ohya, Petz and Wanatabe [8] and Schumacher and Westmoreland [10,11], in terms of the relative entropy  $H(P, Q) = \text{Tr} P \log P - \text{Tr} P \log Q$ .

$$C(\Phi) = \sup_{\gamma} H[\Phi(\gamma), \Phi(\rho^*)] \quad (9)$$

where  $\rho^* = \sum_j \pi_j \rho_j$  is the average state for the optimal ensemble. As an immediate corollary, one finds that the output states in the optimal ensemble are equi-distant from the output average in the sense of relative entropy, i.e., for the optimal ensemble  $H[\Phi(\rho_i), \Phi(\rho^*)] = C(\Phi)$  for all  $\rho_i$ . Although the relative entropy is *not* a true metric, it can be a useful measure of the “distance” between two states. Because determining the states which achieve capacity can be numerically delicate, the equidistance property of optimal ensembles provides a useful criterion for verifying our numerical work.

Moreover, one expects the symmetry properties of the channel to be reflected in the optimal ensemble. For the examples considered above, if one assumes that the optimal ensemble will be a triple in a given plane with the North pole as  $\rho_1$ , then the ensemble is completely determined by the condition that  $\rho^*$  is on the  $z$ -axis and  $H[\Phi(\rho_1), \Phi(\rho^*)] = H[\Phi(\rho_2), \Phi(\rho^*)] = H[\Phi(\rho_3), \Phi(\rho^*)]$ . For channels of the form (8) the condition  $\mu > q$  implies that the optimal ensemble will lie in the  $x$ - $z$  plane. Channels of the form (6) are symmetric with respect to rotation around the  $z$ -axis, which implies that it suffices to restrict attention to the  $x$ - $z$  plane; however, any plane containing the  $z$ -axis would also suffice. In addition, when  $C_3 > C_2$  the capacity can also be achieved (not just approached) with a 4-state ensemble. For example, when  $\mu = 0.8$ , the two symmetric states can be replaced by the three states  $(\pm \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} 0.9534, \frac{1}{2} 0.9534, -0.3017)$ ,  $(0, -0.9534, -0.3017)$ , each with probability 0.223.

To summarize, it has been conjectured that the Shannon capacity of any qubit channel is achieved when the inputs are strings formed from products of two orthogonal pure states. By contrast, as Fuchs [2] showed, there are channels whose Holevo capacity is achieved with two non-orthogonal input states. This means that the capacity of such a channel is enhanced when inputs are strings formed from products of two non-orthogonal states  $\{\rho_0, \rho_1\}$ , provided entangled measurements can be used on the outputs. We have established that there are situations in which entangled measurements can enhance the capacity even further when the products are chosen from *three* non-orthogonal states  $\{\rho_0, \rho_1, \rho_2\}$  in

a two-dimensional Hilbert space. Moreover, the effect arises from a competition between a QC capacity with an asymmetric probability distribution, and a CQ capacity with a 50/50 distribution. This suggests, in particular, that the capacity as a function of all possible inputs has a large flat region near its maximum. It may be possible to exploit this flatness and use a variety of alphabet distributions with only a small sacrifice in capacity.

**Acknowledgment** The work of C. King was partially supported by National Science Foundation Grant DMS-0101205. The work of M. Nathanson and M.B. Ruskai was partially supported by the National Security Agency and Advanced Research and Development Activity under Army Research Office contract number DAAG55-98-1-0374 and by the National Science Foundation under Grant number DMS-0074566.

- 
- [1] E.B. Davies, "Information and quantum measurement" *IEEE Trans.* **TI-24**, 596–599 (1978).
  - [2] C. Fuchs, "Nonorthogonal quantum states maximize classical information capacity" *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, vol. 79, pp. 1162–1165, 1997.
  - [3] A. S. Holevo, "On the capacity of quantum communication channel", *Probl. Peredachi Inform.*, **15**, no. 4, 3–11 (1979) (English translation: *Problems of Information Transm.*, **15**, no. 4, 247–253 (1979)).
  - [4] A.S. Holevo, "The capacity of quantum channel with general signal states" *IEEE Trans. Info. Theory* **44**, 269–273 (1998). preprint (lanl: quant-ph/9611023)
  - [5] A. S. Holevo, "Quantum coding theorems", *Russian Math. Surveys*, vol. 53:6, pp. 1295–1331, 1999.
  - [6] C. King and M.B. Ruskai, "Minimal Entropy of States Emerging from Noisy Quantum Channels" *IEEE Trans. Info. Theory* **47**, 192–209 (2001).
  - [7] C. King and M.B. Ruskai, "Capacity of Quantum Channels Using Product Measurements" *J. Math. Phys.* **42**, 87–98 (2001).
  - [8] M. Ohya, D. Petz and N. Watanabe, "On capacities of quantum channels" *Prob. Math. Stats.* **17**, 170–196 (1997).
  - [9] M.B. Ruskai, S. Szarek and W. Werner, "An analysis of completely positive trace-preserving maps on  $\mathcal{M}_2$ " to appear in *Lin. Alg. Appl.*. preprint lanl:quant-ph/0101003.
  - [10] B. Schumacher and M. D. Westmoreland, "Sending classical information via noisy quantum channels" *Phys. Rev. A* **56**, 131–138 (1997).
  - [11] B. Schumacher and M. D. Westmoreland, "Optimal Signal Ensembles" preprint lanl:quant-ph/9912122.

FIG. 1. The x-z plane of the maximally shifted depolarizing channel  $\Phi_D$  with radius  $\mu = 0.5$ . The images of the optimal vertical and horizontal ensembles are indicated by  $\bullet$  and  $\diamond$  respectively.

FIG. 2. The x-z plane of the amplitude damping channel  $\Phi_{\text{Amp}}$  with  $\mu = 0.5$ . The images of the optimal vertical and horizontal ensembles are indicated by  $\bullet$  and  $\diamond$  respectively.

FIG. 3. The x-z plane of the stretched channel, with  $\mu = 0.5$ , showing the optimal inputs and their images.

FIG. 4. The x-z plane of the squeezed channel with  $\mu = 0.5$ ,  $q = 0.435$  showing an ensemble on a skew line and its reflection. If  $\chi$  is not optimized at the crossing, averaging to obtain a 4-state ensemble will increase  $\chi$